



# ■ WeBER Indicator Summary

AREA: Strategic Framework of PAR

## MACEDONIA

- Author: EPI
- Date: [10.04.2018]

PAR Area	STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK OF PAR	Country	MACEDONIA
SIGMA Principle	<p><b>Principle 2: Public administration reform is purposefully implemented; reform outcome targets are set and regularly monitored</b> Public administration reform is purposefully implemented; reform outcome targets are set and regularly monitored</p> <p><b>Principle 4: Public administration reform has robust and functioning management co-ordination structures at both the political and administrative levels to steer the reform design and implementation process</b></p>		

WeBER Indicator	<b>SFPAR_P2&amp;4_I1: Civil society involvement in the PAR monitoring and coordination structures</b>
<b>Indicator approach</b>	
<p>The focus of measurement for this indicator is on quality of PAR implementation, coordination and monitoring from the perspective of civil society engagement.</p> <p>Strategic PAR document whose coordination and monitoring structured are monitored and measured by this indicator, is overall PAR strategic document. Focus on the single strategic document, instead of two or more determines at the same time whether efforts to simplify monitoring and coordination structures for different PAR strategic documents exist. In case there is no overall PAR strategy, the most comprehensive strategic document in force and under implementation is considered.</p> <p>For each element under this indicator, review and qualitative assessment of official documents pertaining to the organisation and functioning of PAR coordination and monitoring structures will be conducted. Findings from other data sources, where applicable, will be used to corroborate the findings from the official documents.</p>	
<b>Summary of the findings</b>	
<p>The coordination and monitoring of the of the PAR process is done by the MISA, which every 6 months should deliver the report for the implementation of the Action plan of the Strategy for PAR to the Council for PAR and once per year to the government.</p> <p>Nevertheless, the new strategy 2018 - 2022 strategy does not foresee inviting CSOs to be part of its administrative and political coordinating bodies. In the short period that the strategy was brought (since February 2018) no monitoring or coordination system was operational. Under the previous strategy (2010-2015) CSOS were not involved in monitoring or evaluation.</p> <p>The new strategy indicates that in the framework of MISA a "Team for PAR" which constitutes the administrative level has been established as well as a Council for PAR which constitutes the political level. These structures do not include any CSO members. However, the strategy foresees inviting stakeholders on public debates related to PAR implementation twice a year and that reports have to be made public and also foresees that an evaluation will be made every two years by 'independent experts'.</p>	
<b>Specific observations</b>	
None.	

<b>Indicator score</b>	0 (out of 26 points)
<b>Final indicator value</b>	0 (scale 0-5) <sup>1</sup>
<b>Measurement period</b>	March 1 - March 9, 2018

<sup>1</sup> Conversion of points: 0-5 points = 0; 6-9 points = 1; 10-13 points = 2; 14-17 points = 3; 18-21 points = 4; 22-26 points = 5.